

Streamlined Forensic Reporting (SFR)		MG22B (SFR1)	
FORENSIC RESULT REPORT Evidential Drug Identification Testing (EDIT)			
Relates to (person):	Click or tap here to enter text.	Crime/Occ. No:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Location:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Force Forensic Ref:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Date of Offence/Incident:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Forensic Provider Ref:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Other Ref 1:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Other Ref 2:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Report provided by:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Organisation:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Date of report:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Annexes Included with this Report:	Choose from List
Results / Findings			
Exhibit	ABC/1	DTK/DTD Used	Drug ID Immunoassay Kit
Exhibit Bag Number	1234567	Result	2 Lines Positive - Indication: COCAINE
Content Description	One wrap of white powder	Weight / Count	0.09 gram
		Legal Status*	Cocaine is classified as CLASS A
Evidence Type Supporting / Technical Information			
EDIT is Evidential Drug Identification Testing. Testing is carried out in accordance with Home Office Circulars 013/2014, 015/2012 and The Evidential Drug Identification Testing (EDIT) Good Practice Guide – 2023			
*According to the Misuse of Drugs Act 1971 (as amended)			
<u>The Disputed Test Procedure</u>			
The drug testing kit (DTK) or drug testing device (DTD) test result should be seen as a way of confirming the arresting Police Officer's reasonable suspicions. A person charged with the offence of possession of a controlled drug can initiate the Disputed Test Procedure if they think the test result is incorrect. They do this by notifying their defence lawyer prior to court when pleading not guilty at the first court hearing and informing the court that they are disputing the drug testing kit. The CPS should then request an adjournment whilst the suspected controlled drug is sent to an external forensic service provider. The result of this laboratory analysis will supersede that of the original drug testing kit (DTK) or drug testing device (DTD) result.			
Case management – To the court and to the defence			
The prosecution propose to rely on the forensic evidence contained in this SFR and if there is a trial, to adduce it by way of a s10 CJA 1967 admission to the general effect that the exhibit(s) listed were forensically examined and the examination produced the result(s) described. Therefore should there be a real issue in relation to this forensic evidence, such that the admission cannot be made, the prosecution ask that the defence identify the issue (Crim.PR.3.3 and Crim.PR 19.3(2)).			
If this report contains expert evidence, then, in accordance with CPR 19.3(2), the defence is required to serve a response to this report as soon as practicable, and in any event not more than 10 business days after service of the report setting out which, if any of the conclusions in this report are admitted as fact, and where a conclusion is not admitted what are the disputed issues concerning that conclusion.			
This SFR is not a witness statement to which the provisions of s9 CJA 1967 and Crim.PR 16 apply, nor is it an expert's report to which the provisions of Crim. PR 19.4 apply, its purpose being to introduce any expert evidence contained therein as admitted fact. If this SFR contains expert opinion, it is a summary of that opinion served pursuant to Crim. PR 19.3(1).			
SFRs assist courts to fulfil their duty to actively manage the case (Crim.PR 3.1) by ensuring that evidence is presented in the shortest and clearest way and by facilitating the early identification of the real issues. (Crim.PR 3.2). Each party must actively assist the court in fulfilling its duty (Crim.PR 3.3).			

Streamlined Forensic Reporting (SFR)		MG22B (SFR1)	
STATUS OF RELATED EXHIBITS Evidential Drug Identification Testing (EDIT)			
Relates to (person):	Click or tap here to enter text.	Crime/Occ. No:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Location:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Force Forensic Ref:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Date of Offence/Incident:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Forensic Provider Ref:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Other Ref 1:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Other Ref 2:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Report provided by:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Organisation:	Click or tap here to enter text.
Date of report:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Annexes Included with this Report:	None
Exhibits	Status		
<p>NB - Click or tap here to enter text. does not accept responsibility for the sensitivity or otherwise of this material.</p>			
<p>Additional information / Evidence Type Technical Information</p> <p>Please note the above list of exhibits relates only to exhibits submitted for examination and are relevant to my area of expertise and / or to the findings set out in the Results/Findings section of this report. The list was accurate at the time this report was generated. All exhibits will not necessarily be listed here. Should a comprehensive list of exhibits be required, please contact the Investigating Officer.</p> <p>The prosecution will not ordinarily undertake further forensic analysis unless and until the exact issue that such analysis needs to address has been identified; and only if, in light of that issue, it is appropriate that the next stage of analysis should be undertaken by an expert instructed by the prosecution rather than an expert instructed by the defence. If appropriate a direction under Crim.PR 3.5(2)(h) as to the order in which the expert issues should be determined may be sought.</p>			
<p><u>Important:</u></p> <p>This identification has been provided in line with Evidential Drug Identification Testing (EDIT) guidelines. If the indicated test result above is disputed, or any issue with the test is raised, the exhibits must be sent for Forensic Analysis at the earliest opportunity. Therefore, confirmation by way of Forensic Testing must be requested via the agreed CPS / CJU forms and does not fall within the responsibilities of the EDIT tester.</p>			