

Streamlined Forensic Report (SFR1)		MG 22 B	
FORENSIC RESULT REPORT – QUESTIONED DOCUMENTS			
Relates to (person):	A. Suspect	Crime/Occ. No:	Crime Ref etc
Location:	An Address, A Town	Forensic Case Ref:	SSU Ref
Date of Offence:	30/11/2020	Forensic Lab Ref:	FSP Ref
Seizing Officer:	May be left blank	Other Ref 1:	Use as/if required
		Other Ref 2:	Use as/if required
Report provided by:	A. Scientist	Organisation:	Forensic Service Provider
Date of report:	09/02/2021		
Examined		Results / Findings	
CD/2 – Note beginning ‘I HAVE A GUN...’		In my opinion: • My findings provide strong support for the proposition that A. SUSPECT wrote the questioned notes, items CD/2 and EF/1.	
EF/1 – Note beginning ‘DO NOT PANIC...’			
Evidence Type Supporting / Technical Information			
Range of Opinion Declaration			
<p>The findings expressed in this Streamlined Forensic Report are matters of opinion, not statements of fact. However, evaluation of forensic evidence such as this is supported by study and experience, applying specialist knowledge and skills to forge reliable opinions and interpretations, which are subjected to peer review (as defined within the organisation’s Quality Management System) before final reporting. The decision-making process may be supported and evidenced through the use of working notes.</p>			
Opinion Scale			
<p>The opinions in this report (support for or against a particular proposition) are expressed using the following scale:</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Extremely Strong, Very Strong, Strong, Moderately Strong, Moderate, Weak, Inconclusive</p>			
Accreditation Declaration			
<p>[Insert your accredited entity] is a UKAS accredited [testing laboratory/inspection body] No ####.</p>			
Case management – To the court and to the defence			
<p>The prosecution propose to rely on the forensic evidence contained in this SFR and if there is a trial, to adduce it by way of a s10 CJA 1967 admission to the general effect that the exhibit(s) listed were forensically examined and the examination produced the result(s) described. Therefore should there be a real issue in relation to this forensic evidence, such that the admission cannot be made, the prosecution ask that the defence identify the issue (Crim.PR.3.3 and Crim.PR 19.3(2)).</p> <p>If this report contains expert evidence, then, in accordance with CPR 19.3(2), the defence is required to serve a response to this report as soon as practicable, and in any event not more than 14 days after service of the report setting out which, if any of the conclusions in this report are admitted as fact, and where a conclusion is not admitted what are the disputed issues concerning that conclusion.</p> <p>This SFR is not a witness statement to which the provisions of s9 CJA 1967 and Crim.PR 16 apply, nor is it an expert’s report to which the provisions of Crim. PR 19.4 apply, its purpose being to introduce any expert evidence contained therein as admitted fact. If this SFR contains expert opinion, it is a summary of that opinion served pursuant to Crim. PR 19.3(1).</p> <p>SFRs assist courts to fulfil their duty to actively manage the case (Crim.PR 3.1) by ensuring that evidence is presented in the shortest and clearest way and by facilitating the early identification of the real issues. (Crim.PR 3.2). Each party must actively assist the court in fulfilling its duty (Crim.PR 3.3).</p>			

Streamlined Forensic Report (SFR1)		MG 22 B	
STATUS OF QUESTIONED DOCUMENTS RELATED EXHIBITS			
Relates to (person):	A. Suspect	Crime/Occ. No:	Crime Ref etc
Location:	An Address, A Town	Forensic Case Ref:	SSU Ref
Date of Offence:	30/11/2020	Forensic Lab Ref:	FSP Ref
Seizing Officer:	May be left blank	Other Ref:	Use as/if required
		Other Ref 2:	Use as/if required
Report provided by:	Scientist	Organisation:	Forensic Service Provider
Date of report:	09/02/2021		
Exhibits	Status		
AB/1a to j – 10 x Handwriting Samples	Reference writing of A. SUSPECT.		
AB/3 – 2020 WHSmith Diary	Submitted as reference writing of A. SUSPECT. I can accept that all of the writing in AB/3 is by the same person as wrote AB/1a to j and have therefore taken this as further reference writing of A. SUSPECT for the purposes of my further comparisons.		
NB - Scientist does not accept responsibility for the sensitivity or otherwise of this material.			
Additional information / Evidence Type Technical Information			
Please note the above list of exhibits relates only to exhibits submitted for examination and are relevant to my area of expertise and / or to the findings set out in the Results/Findings section of this report. The list was accurate at the time this report was generated. All exhibits will not necessarily be listed here. Should a comprehensive list of exhibits be required, please contact the Investigating Officer.			
The prosecution will not ordinarily undertake further forensic analysis unless and until the exact issue that such analysis needs to address has been identified; and only if, in light of that issue, it is appropriate that the next stage of analysis should be undertaken by an expert instructed by the prosecution rather than an expert instructed by the defence. If appropriate a direction under Crim.PR 3.5(2)(h) as to the order in which the expert issues should be determined may be sought.			
<u>Important:</u>	Where real issue(s) are identified and if additional forensic work is necessary, please notify the agreed Force contact in writing, listing the issue(s) to be further addressed. Delivery dates for additional forensic work to be agreed on a case by case basis.	Forensic Contact Details:	SSU Contact details