Streamlined Forensic Reporting (SF			R) MG22B (SFR1)					
FORENSIC RESULT REPORT DIG 200 - CELL SITE ANALYSIS FOR GEOLOCATION								
Relates to (person):	Click or tap here to enter text.		Crime/Occ. No:		Click or tap here to enter text.			
Location:	Click or tap here to enter text.		Force Forensic Ref:		Click or tap here to enter text.			
Date of Offence/Incident:	Click or tap here to enter text.		Forensic Provider Ref:		Click or tap here to enter text.			
Other Ref 1:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Other Ref 2:		ef 2:	Click or tap here to enter text.			
Report provided by:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Orga	Organisation:		r tap here to enter text.			
Date of report:	Click or tap here to enter text.		Annexes Included with this Report:		Choose from List			

Summary of Forensic Evidence: This report relates to results from cell site analysis for geolocation

Prosecution Tasking:

Could the device using phone number xxxx have been at 4 West Street, Old Town, between 19:10 and 19:25 on 01/01/01.

OPINION

Prosecution

I would expect the call data records generated by xxxx if the device using this number was located & used at 4 West Street, Old Town, between 19:10 and 19:25 on 01/01/01.

Limitations

I would also expect the call data records generated by xxxx if the device using the phone number was not located & used at 4 West Street, Old Town, but located elsewhere in the service area of the cells utilised during this period. The full coverage areas of the cells used are undefined.

Defence

I have not been provided with a defence position and a likely defence position is not obvious in relation to this time period. If one becomes available I will provide opinion accordingly.

Prosecution Tasking:

Could the device using xxxx have been at 1 New Road, Newtown between 15:47 and 16:34 on 01/01/01

OPINION

Prosecution

I would expect the call data records generated by xxxx if the device using this number was located and used at 1 New Road, Newtown at some point between 15:47 and 16:34 on 01/01/01.

Limitations

I would also expect the call data records generated by xxxx if the device using the phone number was not located & used at 1 New Road, Newtown, but located elsewhere in the service area of the cells utilised during this period. The full coverage areas of the cells used are undefined.

During this time frame there is only one cell connection made to the network by xxxx. This is for a data session and therefore it is not known at what point during the 15:47 and 16:34 time period, this cell site was used for service. This due to the way data events

OFFICIAL (SENSITIVE) This is not a witness statement

Relates to (person): Force Forensic Ref: Forensic Provider Ref: Date of Report: Click or tap here to enter text. Click or tap here to enter text. Click or tap here to enter text. Click or tap here to enter text.

are recorded by the networks. For more detail on this please refer to <u>FCN-DDD-GUI-0002 Supplementary Technical Notes for Cell</u> <u>Site Analysis for Geolocation v1.0</u>

Defence

I have not been provided with a defence position, however I have identified that a likely defence position is that between 15:47 and 16:34 the device using this number was located and used at 2 Old Street, Newtown.

I would not expect the call data records generated by xxxx if the device using this number was located and used at 2 Old Street,

Newtown between 15:47 and 16:34 on 01/01/01.

Evidence Type Supporting / Technical Information

- Surveys have been performed using xxxxxxxx surveying equipment using software number 1.1.1 on 01/01/01
- Data has been normalised and analysed using xxxxx version 1.1

For further technical information on cell site analysis see FCN-DDD-GUI-0002 Supplementary Technical Notes for Cell Site Analysis for Geolocation v1.0

Accreditation Declaration

[Insert your accredited entity] is/is not (delete as appropriate) a UKAS accredited [testing laboratory/inspection body] No ####.

Declaration of Compliance with the Code of Practice published by the Statutory Forensic Science Regulator

FSA-DIG 200 – cell site analysis for geolocation, does not require compliance to the Code of Practice published by the statutory Forensic Science Regulator [issue 1] until 2nd October 2025 therefore there is currently no requirement to declare compliance or non-compliance to the Code.

Case management – To the court and to the defence

The prosecution propose to rely on the forensic evidence contained in this SFR and if there is a trial, to adduce it by way of a s10 CJA 1967 admission to the general effect that the exhibit(s) listed were forensically examined and the examination produced the result(s) described. Therefore should there be a real issue in relation to this forensic evidence, such that the admission cannot be made, the prosecution ask that the defence identify the issue (Crim.PR.3.3 and Crim.PR 19.3(2)).

If this report contains expert evidence, then, in accordance with CPR 19.3(2), the defence is required to serve a response to this report as soon as practicable, and in any event not more than 10 business days after service of the report setting out which, if any of the conclusions in this report are admitted as fact, and where a conclusion is not admitted what are the disputed issues concerning that conclusion.

This SFR is not a witness statement to which the provisions of s9 CJA 1967 and Crim.PR 16 apply, nor is it an expert's report to which the provisions of Crim. PR 19.4 apply, its purpose being to introduce any expert evidence contained therein as admitted fact. If this SFR contains expert opinion, it is a summary of that opinion served pursuant to Crim. PR 19.3(1).

SFRs assist courts to fulfil their duty to actively manage the case (Crim.PR 3.1) by ensuring that evidence is presented in the shortest and clearest way and by facilitating the early identification of the real issues. (Crim.PR 3.2). Each party must actively assist the court in fulfilling its duty (Crim.PR 3.3).

Streamlined Forensic Reporting (SFR)			MG22B (SFR1)			
	STATUS OF RELA	TED	EXHIBI	TS		
Relates to (person):	Click or tap here to enter text.		Crime/Oc No:	С.	Click or tap here to enter text.	
Location:	Click or tap here to enter text.		Force For Ref:	rensic	Click or tap here to enter text.	
Date of Offence/Incident:	Click or tap here to enter text.		Forensic Provider	Ref:	Click or tap here to enter text.	
Other Ref 1:	Click or tap here to enter text.		Other Re	f 2:	Click or tap here to enter text.	
Report provided by:	Click or tap here to enter text.	Orga	anisation:	Click c	or tap here to enter text.	
Date of report:	Click or tap here to enter text.		nexes Included with Choose from List Report:			
Exhibits	Status					
NB - Click or tap here to enter text. does not accept responsibility for the sensitivity or otherwise of this						

material.

Additional information / Evidence Type Technical Information

Please note the above list of exhibits relates only to exhibits submitted for examination and are relevant to my area of expertise and / or to the findings set out in the Results/Findings section of this report. The list was accurate at the time this report was generated. All exhibits will not necessarily be listed here. Should a comprehensive list of exhibits be required, please contact the Investigating Officer.

The prosecution will not ordinarily undertake further forensic analysis unless and until the exact issue that such analysis needs to address has been identified; and only if, in light of that issue, it is appropriate that the next stage of analysis should be undertaken by an expert instructed by the prosecution rather than an expert instructed by the defence. If appropriate a direction under Crim.PR 3.5(2)(h) as to the order in which the expert issues should be determined may be sought.

Important:		
Where real issue(s) are identified and if additional forensic work is necessary, please notify the agreed Force contact in writing, listing the issue(s) to be further addressed. Delivery dates for additional forensic work to be agreed on a case by case basis.	Forensic Contact Details:	